

REMARKS

The office action rejected claims 37 and 38 without ever addressing them. It is believed that it is intended to indicate that these claims were allowable.

Thus claim 27 has been amended to include the subject matters of claims 29 and 37, the latter of which was not rejected. Therefore claim 27 as amended should be in condition for allowance.


Claim 41 has been amended to include subject matter similar to that included as dependent claim 37, not rejected, and therefore claim 41 should be in condition for allowance.

New claim 46 corresponds to former dependent claim 37 without some of the material in intervening dependent claim 29. However, it is not believed that any of that material was responsible for the allowability of claim 37.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 11/29/2001



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 S. Voss Road, Suite 750
Houston, TX 77057
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]